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INTRODUCTION

The integration between psychology and Christianity has been a hot issue for Christian counselors since mid 1970s. The movement of integration has been developed in reaction to the acceptance of psychology in the area of pastoral counseling without any criticism about psychology from the Christian viewpoint. Since Jay Adams totally rejected psychology in the area of pastoral care, the effort to integrate psychology with Christianity became so strong during the past two decades.

Even though the effort to integrate the two spheres has gained strength, there is a great diversity on how to integrate and what to integrate. Nowadays, diversity seems to be increasing much more rapidly. There are many scholars who want to integrate psychology with Christianity through establishing models of Christian counseling (Hall and Johnson, 2001; Moon, 1997; Bouma-Prediger, 1990; Worthington, 1994; Farnsworth, 1982). There are others who are interested in doing integration through spirituality (Decker, 2002; Moon, 2002; Eliaison, Hanley, and Leventis, 2001; Rose, Westefeld, and Ansley, 2001; Howard, McMin, Bissell, Faries, and VanMeter, 2000; Johnson, 1998, 1997, 1992). There are some scholars who show their interests in integrating various issues of psychology and Christianity (Johnson, 2001; Bufford, 1997; Hall and Hall, 1997). There are other scholars who present their own integration positions between psychology and Christianity (Tan, 2001; Carter and Narramore, 2000; Collins, 1983).

Out of the diversity on how to integrate and what to integrate between psychology and Christianity, this paper aims at studying models of Christian counseling. As the writer looked into the existing models of Christian counseling, there are four different models that stand out: level-of-explanation model, integration model, Christian
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psychology model, and biblical counseling model (Johnson, and Jones, 2000). Each model presents a different view about human nature, science and Christianity, personality theories, psychopathologies, and counseling directions. Even though the different models present their own positions, boundaries among the models are not clear. Related issues are not clearly distinctly presented through the models. If boundaries and issues of one model could be presented clearly, then it would be helpful for other models to present their own boundaries and issues. The writer chose the Christian psychology model presented through the following: origin and major figures, psychology and Christianity, theory of personality, major pathologies, and counseling.

ORIGIN AND MAJOR FIGURES

The Christian psychology model originated from three different major proponents, such as Robert C. Roberts, Stephen Evans, and Larry Crabb. Roberts received his B.A. and M.A. from Wichita State University and B.D. and Ph.D. from Yale University. He taught at Wheaton College for a number of years and is currently teaching ethics at Baylor University. His interests are in moral psychology, the psychology of Kierkegaard, and theory of emotion. He has written numerous books and articles in the area of integrating psychology with Christianity while teaching at Wheaton College.

Evans received his B.A. from Wheaton College and Ph.D. in Philosophy from Yale University in 1974. He taught philosophy at Calvin College and is currently teaching philosophy at Baylor University. He has written numerous books and articles in the area of existential faith, and historical understanding of Jesus and Kierkegaard. His current concern is to build Christian ethics through the philosophy of Kierkegaard. Crabb received his B.S. in psychology from Ursinus College, and M.A. and Ph.D. in clinical psychology from University of Illinois. He taught psychology at University of Illinois and Florida Atlantic University. After that, he went into private practice for ten years. He taught biblical counseling at Grace Theological Seminary and is currently teaching biblical counseling at Colorado Christian University. He wrote many books in the area of integrating psychology with Christianity in Christian counseling.

These scholars are interested in building Christian psychology that will integrate psychology with Christianity. Even though the three
major figures have different backgrounds in ethics, philosophy, and psychology, they show the same interests in the area of integration. The shared interest of integration is related to historical approach to Christian community. They believe that Christian psychology has existed throughout the history of Christianity. Roberts and Evans are especially interested in studying Christian psychology out of the philosophy of Kierkegaard (Evans, 1998, 1990; Roberts, 1998, 1997). Evans (1990) has his interest in Kierkegaard as a psychologist. He describes Kierkegaard as a developmental psychologist, studying sin, anxiety, despair, self-deception, and human unconsciousness. Roberts (1998) studies Kierkegaard in the area of moral psychology. He shows his special interest in emotion and existence. He sees Kierkegaard as “classical moral psychologist” (p. 177). By studying the nature of emotion in the philosophy of Kierkegaard, he tries to build Christian psychology. Both scholars have historical stance in building Christian psychology. Both of them believe that Christian history can provide substantial content in building Christian psychology.

PSYCHOLOGY AND CHRISTIANITY

Christian psychologists have a clear understanding about the integration between psychology and Christianity. They believe that there should be a system and sound element for the work of integration (Roberts, 2000, p. 137). Roberts inquires from Gary R. Collins in the course of their discussion on integration, “About psychology and Christianity, which is the system and which supplies element?” Christian psychologists have very clear answer to the question. Christianity provides the system and psychology provides the elements. System means forms or principles and element means content or substance. Therefore, Christianity should have authority to screen psychological elements. Larry Crabb (1977) shows the point very clearly through his position (pp. 31-52). He classifies the positions of integration as follows: separate but equal, tossed salad, nothing buttery, spoiling the Egyptians. Crabb proposes the position of spoiling the Egyptians which psychology should subject to the authority of the Bible. From the evangelical Christian point of view, Crabb suggests that psychology should meet the biblical Words and standard of God such as the authority of the Scripture, the Bible as the infallible standard of God, and the Bible as the functional control over
psychology. Therefore, psychology should adapt itself to the biblical system.

Christian psychologists do not define the so-called psychology as already an established discipline. They treat the discipline of psychology as just a contemporary psychological system. It excludes many other types of psychologies in the human history (Roberts, 2000, p. 150). From the point of area of discipline, psychology is still establishing. A very good example is feminist psychology. It is developing a psychological system from feminist point of view. In the same way, Christian psychology is also possible for establishing a new psychological system. From the human history, there have existed many different kinds of psychologies such as Aristotle’s Nichomachean Ethics (fourth century B.C.), theory of emotion developed by Stoic Chrysippus (third century, B.C.), Thomas Aquinas’ Summa Theologiae (thirteenth century, A.D.), the psychological insights about human motivations from the novels by Charles Dickens, George Elliot, Fyodor Dostoyevsky, and Leo Tolstoy (Roberts, 2000, p. 150). These psychologies have been excluded because of the difficulties of scientific study.

Christian psychologists want to redefine psychology as a discipline that includes both values and scientific research. Contemporary psychology is narrowly defined only as a scientific way that excludes the value system. But Christian psychologists argue that science cannot be free from the value system even though scientists insist that science is a value-free activity. Jones (1996) points out that science is related with value system from the positivistic philosophy of science as follows: theory-laden data, value-laden theory, and scientific progress in conceptual value system (pp. 118-121). Furthermore, science supports a certain value system of objectivism that is contrary to subjective value system. Objectivism is a system of value that supports a certain distance between the observer and the observed object. Actually, human activities cannot be free from values. Based on the postpositivistic philosophy, many scholars are studying integrative points between traditional psychology and religious values (Bergin, Payne, and Richard, 1996, pp. 297-325). Psychology becomes not only observable and proof-laden enterprise but also value-laden system of discipline. There is another question in relation to the task of redefining psychology. Why should it be psychology? Can it be called a different name? Roberts (2001) gives two possible different answers (pp. 134-
One is related to psychological help and the other is the resource of Christian tradition. In the contemporary society, there has been dramatically increased demand for psychological help. Contemporary people experience tremendous psychological difficulties and sufferings. From the Christian tradition, there have been a lot of psychological resources. These could not be deleted from the tradition under the different names.

Christian psychologists try to build a new form of psychology through Christian history and biblical tradition. From Christian history, they focus on important Christian figures such as Augustine (studied by Eric L. Johnson), Thomas Aquinas (studied by Richard W. Cross), Richard Baxter (studied by Kenneth L. Roth), Joseph Butler (studied by Mark R. Talbot), George Berkley (studied by James L. Spiegel), John Wesley (studied by H. Newton Malony), and Kierkegaard (studied by Julia Watkin) (Roberts, 2000, p. 154). There are several examples of psychological studies on Christian history as follows: Eric Johnson’s exploration of the history of Christian concept of the soul, Walter Sundberg’s study about “the relationship between suffering and therapy in the thought of such Reformation and Counter Reformation figures as Martin Luther and Ignatius Loyola,” Stephen Evan’s study of Kierkegaard’s psychological methods, and Roberts’ study of moral psychology on Kierkegaard (Roberts, 2000, p. 154). Roberts (2000) suggests two points for studying psychology from Christian tradition (pp. 155-159). The first point is that Christian psychologists want to draw pure psychological insights from Christian tradition without any contamination from contemporary psychological values. Contemporary psychology holds the position of egoistic and individualistic value system that represents an atheistic perspective. The second point is that psychology from Christian tradition should be made available for the contemporary people. The new form of psychology should be translated into the contemporary system of psychology.

Biblical content is another important source for the establishment of Christian psychology. Christian psychologists show their interests in biblical passages on the psychology of Jesus Christ and Pauline psychology. Regarding the psychology of Jesus Christ, Roberts (2000) suggests the Sermon on the Mount as the perfect example (pp. 159-168). Even though the Sermon on the Mount does not include the contemporary psychological terms such as motivation, behavior, thoughts, feelings, etc, it provides very important psychological
understanding about the human mind through the following terms: poor in spirit, sorrow, humble or gentle, pure in heart, peacemaker, righteousness, etc. “It certainly does offer its own conception of human nature, an ideal of personality functioning, explanations and evaluations of actions, thoughts, and emotions, and recommendations or strategies for change” (Roberts, 2000, p. 160). In connection with Pauline psychology, Roberts (2001) suggests the following points: the notion of the two personalities, the thought of self-transformative action, and the psychotherapy according to Pauline thought (pp. 134-163). In this article, he shows his interests in two different psychological selves of the new self and the old self. On self-transformative action, he suggests several concepts of “yield or present, let rule, let dwell,” “walk,” “put on, put off, put away,” and “kill” for further study. About the psychotherapy, he suggests several elements of assessing the believer, clarifying the gospel, teaching the therapeutic agency of prayer, suffering, fellowship, confession, reading and meditation, worship, and works.

THEORY OF PERSONALITY

Christian psychologists criticize the philosophical assumptions of secular psychological personality theories. Paul C. Vitz (1997) says, “to distinguish the scientific from the non-scientific aspects of personality theories, it is useful to see that such theories have three different conceptual levels.” (p. 20). He points out the three different conceptual levels as follows: terms and categories observed in the clinical settings, conceptual and theoretical concepts related to the given theory, and the general presuppositions underlying the personality theory. Vitz (1997) suggests six different presuppositions of Christian personality theory from those of the secular one (pp. 22-29). The first one is atheism and theism. Secular theories of personality assume implicitly or explicitly that God does not exist. But the Christian theory of personality begins with the presupposition that God does exist and is a person who has relationship with humans. The second one is reductionism versus constructionism. Secular theories of personality reduce human values to sexual desire or skills of human relationships. Christian theory of personality transforms the lower psychic desires into the higher values of love or self-control. The third one is determinism versus freedom. Secular personality theory assumes that humans are determined by past
events, intrapsychic elements, or environmental stimuli. Christian personality theory assumes that humans have free will to decide or not to decide. The fourth one is individualism versus interdependence. Secular personality theory assumes that an isolated autonomous self is mature and healthy. Christian one assumes that mutuality or interdependence is mature and healthy. The fifth one is self-centered morality versus morality centered on God and others. Secular psychology assumes that all values belong to an individual person. But Christian psychology assumes that there is absolute moral standard revealed by God. The last one is subjectivism versus realism. Humanistic psychology assumes that humans can know their mind by themselves. Christian psychology assumes that the objective world created by God exists. Humans cannot limit the objective world by subjective feelings and thoughts.

Roberts (1997) also criticizes secular theories of personality that pursue individualistic way as healthy and mature (pp. 11-12). He argues that secular psychology promotes such values as individuation (Carl Jung), self-actualization (Carl Rogers), differentiation of self (Murray Bowen), how to love oneself in contrast to co-dependency, how to enhance self-interests (rational emotive therapy), and so on. Secular psychologists emphasize the dysfunctional aspects of dependency, enmeshment, accepting other person’s values and use their therapy to separate people from social connections and networks.

Based on the presuppositional critics of the secular theories of personality, Christian psychologists present unique personality theory. Paul Vitz (1997) suggests the Trinitarian model for the theory of personality (pp. 29-40). “The concept of a person was developed to help formulate the doctrine of the Trinity—God as three persons” (Vitz, 1997, p. 30). He distinguishes the concept of “individual” from “person”, criticizing humanistic concept of individual. In the humanistic school, there is no concept of person. On the other hand, Christian psychologists can build a distinguished theory of personality based on the concept of person. He further suggests three overlooked points for the personality theory: traditional values (faith, self-control, patience, humility, hope, and so on), forgiveness, and interdependent relationship.

Roberts (1996) divides basic human nature into two different subcategories of basic teleology and basic structure (pp. 77-89). He depicts the basic personality structure through observing biblical
passages. Humans have a purpose for having personality that is teleology of personality. Humans also have certain characteristics and personality functions that are basic to the structure of personality. The purpose of personality structure is to love God, others, and take care of nature. This is God’s design. In order to fulfill God’s desire, personality has certain characteristics as follows: verbivorousness, agency, inwardness, attachment and self-association, self-dissociation, and permeability. As verbivorous beings, humans can digest God’s words and other things. Digestion means rumination of the words that make humans think objectively. Humans can be spiritual and see or digest objectively. As the second characteristic of human personality, humans are self-determining agents even though humans are bound by intrapsychic personality traits and other environmental factors. When humans can develop themselves, they move from one state to another. The movement and transition is possible because humans are self-determining agents. The third characteristic is inwardness that is called heart or mind in the Bible. Human inwardness includes wishes, motives, thoughts, emotions, intentions, and attitude in general psychology. The inwardness is not easily observable. The fourth characteristic is attachment. Humans are attached to their loved ones. They are not completely independent from others. This gives insights that humans can relate to God and others. The fifth characteristic is self-duplication which is presented by self-association and self-dissociation. Humans can transcend themselves and join in themselves. The last characteristic is permeability that shows humans can be in other humans. Through thoughts, images, and feelings, humans can join in other humans.

Roberts (1996) suggests two important points of the personality of Christian psychology (pp. 89-94). One point is the concept of maturity and the other point is development of personality. The Bible presents the ideal form of personality. Traditionally presented traits characterize the ideal form of personality. These are hope, self-control, patience, humility, obedience, holiness, gentleness, hospitality, and so on. Usually, these traits are known to be the fruit of the Holy Spirit. But humans are not perfect and their personalities are also not perfect. Because of this character, the second point comes in. From the imperfect personality to the ideal or perfect personality, humans can grow or develop. The ideal form of personality had been shown through Jesus. He is the perfect example of perfect personality. Humans can develop themselves up to the perfect model of Jesus’ personality.
How is this development possible? Humans are verbivorous beings. They digest and ruminate themselves and other things. Through the characteristic of verbivorousness, humans can develop themselves. Humans can communicate with God and can digest God’s words. Through the rumination of God’s words, humans can transform themselves and emulate God’s character. Through transformation, humans develop themselves up to the level of Jesus’ personality.

Larry Crabb (1975) suggests two psychological points for the theory of personality. One is that humans are valuable beings. The value of human comes from the fact that humans were created according to the image of God. The value of the human is related to teleology of human beings. Humans exist not just for themselves but also for God. Humans are a part of the plan of God. Humans exist in the context or the relationship with God. Therefore, humans have a certain purpose to live in this earth. This leads to the second point for the theology of personality. Humans are the beings who seek after the security that comes from loving others and being loved by others. Humans are relational beings. Others’ approval and acceptance as a valuable being is crucial for the existence of an individual person. Approval and acceptance brings security for the person. A person’s security is guaranteed in his or her relationship with other people.

MAJOR PATHOLOGIES

Christian psychologists present sinology for the pathology of humans. Separation from God is the fundamental causation of all pathology (Roberts, 1997; Crabb, 1975). Roberts (1997) says, “the basic paradigm of personality corruption will be that of alienation from God, fellow persons, and nature” (p. 95). Crabb (1975) also describes four different separations for the human pathology (p. 49). Therefore, humans have four different problems. The first one is the spiritual problem of being separated from God. The second problem is the social or interpersonal problem of separated from other humans. The third one is ecological and physical problem of being separated from nature. The fourth one is psychological problems of being separated from the human self.

Roberts (1997) says that sin is not part of personality but “a summary concept” (p. 95) of all kinds of problems. In other words, sin is a concept that includes all kinds of problems that humans are
experiencing in this world. Sin is an overarching or broad concept to explain human perversions and the failure to come up to the personality trait of God. The Bible presents two different kinds of human nature and trait. One set is love, hope, faith, forgiveness, gentleness, humility, and self-control that belong to the fruit of the Holy Spirit. The other set is doubt, anxiety, pride, self-centeredness, desperateness, anger, and hatred that are called sin. In this way, sin is a concept to represent or explain human pathology.

Human pathology comes from four different problem areas: spiritual, relational, ecological, and psychological problems. The first area is spiritual problem that which is related to rebellion against God. Spiritual problems include disobedience, faithlessness, pride, arrogance, self-centeredness, and ingratitude. These problems are surely contrasted with the virtue of obedience to God, such as humility, faith, God-centeredness, and gratitude. Spiritual problems are originated from the refusal to submit oneself to God and the desire to be God. Spiritual problems are based on the narcissistic attitude to have self-lordship. The second area is the relational problem of alienation from fellow humans. This is manifested in unfair competition, envy, jealousy, self-centeredness, grudge bearing, injustice, and favoritism. The biblical prescription for the people in alienation is harmonious relationship, acceptance, approval, justice, fair treatment, and genuine concern for others. Because of alienation from other people, humans want to dominate others and be served by them.

The third area is ecological problem. This refers to alienation from nature. This results in greed, insensitivity, partiality, and destruction of the natural ecosystem. The Bible tells that humans should take care of the nature that God entrusted to them and exercise stewardship in terms of his use, development and replication. In other words, human should maintain their harmonious relationship with nature. The last area is psychological problem. This is the intrapsychic alienation from human form the human self. This problem is related to motivational, behavioral, attitudinal, cognitive, and affective area that results in loneliness, anxiety, anger, paranoid thoughts, pride, self-centeredness, shame, etc. The biblical prescription for this problem is self-control, inner peace, trust, humility, confidence, stability, and joy. This problem is also related to the lack of integrity of the human personality.

Various positions about human pathology include natural view, universal sin view, and individual sin view. Natural view means that
human pathology is natural and exists beyond moral judgment. Some scholars who adhere to the natural view see human pathology as a disease. When human pathology is considered as a disease, humans are not totally responsible for their own pathology. One example of the disease model is alcoholic problem. Scholars subscribing to this disease model insist that the problem of alcoholics originated from genetic disorder. In contrast, scholars advocating the sin view see human pathology as originating from the ‘humans’ wrong treatment whether it is corporate or individual. Therefore, humans are not exempt from the pathology and should be responsible. The universal sin view means that human pathology is originated from the corporate sins of humans. Corporate sin includes the structural dysfunction of human societal system and necessary evils that cannot be rooted out from human society. Universal sin refers to the fundamental human sins that cannot be completely rooted out from humans. The examples of the universal sin are human organizations, human body, etc. The individual sin view holds that human pathology originated from individual conscious behaviors. In this view, human pathology comes from the conscious behaviors that humans are committing. Therefore, human pathology is individual and collective responsibility.

Christian psychologists hold the view of sin in regard to human pathology. They put an emphasis on individual responsibility even though they accept a little bit of the universal sin view. Roberts (1997) says, “In light of our nature as agents, we explain sin by saying that we choose it, we did it, and thus corrupted ourselves by our own actions. We are responsible for our own dysfunction.” (p. 95). Larry Crabb (1975) also insists that pathology comes from the wrong beliefs lodged deep in human mind. In his aerial view of human problems, he presents a model of change and teaches sound concepts about human pathology. He suggests the need to identify negative feelings, behaviors, and serious thinking about sin. Negative thinking comes from sinful nature. Negative thinking should be changed to right thinking that comes from God or taught in the Bible.

Even though Christian psychologists put an emphasis on individual responsibility, they still admit the universal sin concept. Roberts (1997) limits the universal sin in the extreme cases of human pathology. He says, “In extreme cases the personality may be so damaged at this early stage that the individual lacks the motivational or perceptual resources for significant moral agency….making it more likely that we
will choose perversely....” (p. 96). Furthermore, he argues that sin can be promoted through self-deception. Even though sin comes from the universal way, still humans are responsible for their sins because they can choose the sins they commit.

COUNSELING

The purpose of counseling to Christian psychologists is “remedial personality development” (Roberts, 1997, p. 97). Counseling is related to the standard that is suggested in the Bible. Counseling is reorienting or redirecting work according to the prescribed Christian virtue. Hence, counseling has two different stages of redirection and further development. This idea is well expressed by Larry Crabb (1977). He sees counseling having two different stages of “move over” and “move up.” Move over means that clients should be guided to the path of righteousness. Because of disobedience, clients do not follow the way of truth. The first work of a counselor is guidance or directing the client to the path of truth. Once the client has made a “move over,” then the client should grow up to the level of glorification. This is the second stage that is related to development and maturity. All humans fall short of God’s glory. Therefore, humans should grow up to the level of God’s standard. The Christian virtue is already expressed in the Bible. This type of counselors can make the clients follow the path of the Christian virtue. The counseling is summarized as evangelism and sanctification. Christian counselors should evangelize people who do not believe in God. After they accept Jesus Christ, they should move themselves up to level of maturity in Jesus.

The model for counseling is already shown in the Bible through God’s salvation process. God has sent his only son Jesus Christ for the restoration of humans. God emptied himself and incarnated through the human Jesus. He died for us and was resurrected from death. God incarnated to reconcile humans with God. This is the remedy for the separation of humans from God. Jesus’ atonement means forgiveness of human sins and restoration into the state of son and daughter-ship to God. God already started the remedial program for the sake of humans when humans did not even know their sins and separation. Therefore, Christian counseling is patterned exactly after the model of God’s action. The purpose of God’s remedial action is restoration of the original state of humans. Like God’s action, the purpose of counseling
is to initiate the remedial process of restoring the human personality into original state in the Garden of Eden.

Counseling according to the Christian psychology model can be divided into two different activities. The first one is evangelism as a counseling activity. In order to do this, education and challenge are the most important intervention strategies. If a client does not have any idea of who God is and what Jesus Christ did for sinful humanity, then a counselor should teach him or her the basic concepts of what Christianity is about. After teaching the basic concepts of Christianity, the counselor guides the client to accept Jesus Christ as his/her Savior. In case of refusal to accept Jesus as Lord, then the counselor can use the intervention strategy of challenge or confrontation. If the confrontation or challenge fails, then counseling ends.

The second one is sanctification as another counseling activity. In this case, Roberts explains, counseling consists of “strategies for encouraging the patient to associate himself with this better self and dissociate himself from what is dysfunctional in him” (1997, p. 98). In other words, counseling intervention is to encourage the client to fight against the sinful nature or dysfunctions and to promote Christian virtues. For this purpose, Christian counselors could borrow different kinds of techniques from already developed secular theories such as cognitive school, psychodynamic school, humanistic school, and family school. In this sense, Christian psychology model accepts some concepts and techniques from secular counseling theories. Larry Crabb (1975) states this point clearly as follows: “I strongly object to counselors who in the name of Scripture deny Rogers any hearing whatsoever . . . . The problem with Rogers is less with what he does and more with what he does not do.” (p. 77). In the counseling process, Christian psychologists could utilize the eclectic approach in adapting various techniques from different theories and positions.

Larry Crabb (1977) suggests a model of Christian counseling. He also suggests levels and stages of counseling. These levels of counseling include: problem feelings, problem behaviors, and problem thinking. The first level is related to problem feelings. In order to deal with this problem, encouragement is necessary. Through encouragement, problem feelings should be changed into biblical feelings. The second level is problem behavior. In order to change the problem behavior, exhortation is necessary in the process of counseling. Through exhortation, problem behavior could be changed into biblical
behavior. The third level is problem thinking. In order to change problem thinking, enlightenment is necessary in the counseling process. If the client is enlightened, then he/she will think biblically. In terms of counseling stages, Crab suggests seven different steps: identifying problem feelings, identifying problem behaviors, identifying problem thinking, clarifying biblical thinking, securing commitment, planning and carrying out biblical behaviors, and identifying spirit-controlled feelings.

CONCLUSION

Christian psychology has a prescriptive approach to the understanding of personality in contrast to the secular psychology that is descriptive in nature. Prescriptive approach means that there is an absolute standard to the state of personality. Descriptive approach means that human nature can be described through objective observation. Secular counseling theories are based on how the human nature is observed and described. But Christian psychology is based on the absolute biblical standard that is given through the Bible.

Christian psychology tries to find the absolute criteria through the Bible and Christian history. Many Christian psychologists make effort to find psychologies of the great historical figures like Thomas Aquinas, Kierkegaard, Charles Dickens, Leo Tolstoy, etc. Among the many great figures, Kierkegaard is the most highlighted person to study. He was described as a developmental or a moral psychologist. In the Bible, the psychology of Jesus was also highlighted among the Christian psychologists. The psychology of Jesus was well presented through the Sermon on the Mount. Pauline psychology also was highlighted. In Pauline psychology, two different selves, the old and new were emphasized.

Pathology is related to the absolute standard of personality. The characteristics of falling short of the standard are pathological symptoms. Basically, human personality comes from the separation from God, fellow humans, nature, and self. God’s redemptive action is exactly the remedial process of human pathology. God has provided us the absolute example for counseling process. Jesus is the center of this remedial process for restoration. Therefore, counseling has two different stages: evangelism and sanctification. In evangelism, Christian psychologists should teach the basic theory of personality
based on the gospel to the clients. If basic education fails, then counseling cannot be continued. For the sanctification process, Christian psychologists can help clients grow up to the level of Jesus’ personality. In this sense, Christian counseling implies the developmental nature of the task. Thus, the two important pillars of Christian counseling form the perspective of Christian psychology are education and development.
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